FILED

NANCY J. MARVEL
Regional Counsel

EDGAR P. CORAL
Assistant Regional Counsel
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 972-3898

2000 SEP 25 PM 1:54

U.S. EPA, REGION IX REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX

In the matter of:) Docket No. FIFRA-09-2008- 00 2 2
Vector Tobacco Inc.,) CONSENT AGREEMENT) AND FINAL ORDER
Respondent.	pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b), 22.18(b)(2), and 22.18(b)(3)

I. CONSENT AGREEMENT

The United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), Region IX, and Vector Tobacco Inc. ("Vector Tobacco" or the "Respondent") agree to settle this matter and consent to the entry of this Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO").

A. AUTHORITY AND PARTIES

- 1. This is a civil administrative action brought pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA"), 7 U.S.C. § 136*l*(a), for the assessment of a civil administrative penalty against Respondent for the use of registered pesticides in manners inconsistent with their labeling in violation of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136*j*(a)(2)(G), and the Worker Protection Standard set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 170.
- 2. Complainant is the Associate Director for Agriculture of the Communities and Ecosystems Division in EPA, Region IX. The Administrator of EPA delegated to the Regional Administrator of Region IX the authority to bring this action under FIFRA by EPA Delegation Order Number 5-14, dated May 11, 1994. The Regional Administrator of Region IX further

delegated the authority to bring this action under FIFRA to the Associate Director for Agriculture of the Communities and Ecosystems Division by EPA Regional Order Number 1255.08 CHG1, dated June 9, 2005.

3. Respondent is Vector Tobacco Inc., a Virginia corporation.

B. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BASIS

- 4. Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G), makes it unlawful for any person to use any registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling.
- 5. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.112(a), after the application of any pesticide on an agricultural establishment, the agricultural employer shall not allow or direct any worker to enter or remain in the treated area before the restricted-entry interval specified on the labeling has expired.
- 6. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.120(b), the agricultural employer shall notify workers of any pesticide application on the farm.
- 7. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.122(c), when workers are on an agricultural establishment and a pesticide has been applied on the establishment in the production of agricultural plants within the past 30 days, the agricultural employer shall display, in accordance with this section, specific information about the pesticide, including: (1) the location and description of the treated area; (2) the product name, EPA registration number, and active ingredient(s) of the pesticide; (3) the time and date the pesticide is to be applied; and (4) the restricted-entry interval for the pesticide.
- 8. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.130(a), the agricultural employer shall assure that each worker, required by this section to be trained, has been trained according to this section during the last 5 years.
- 9. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.150(a), the agricultural employer must provide decontamination supplies for workers in accordance with this section whenever: (1) any worker on the agricultural establishment is performing an activity in the area where a pesticide was applied or a restricted-entry interval was in effect within the last 30 days; and (2) the worker

contacts anything that has been treated with the pesticide, including, but not limited to, soil, water, plants, plant surfaces, and plant parts.

- 10. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.160, if there is any reason to believe that a person who is or has been employed on an agricultural establishment to perform tasks related to the production agricultural plants has been poisoned or injured by exposure to pesticides used on the agricultural establishment, including, but not limited to, exposures from application, splash, spill, drift, or pesticide residues, the agricultural employer shall: (1) make available to that person prompt transportation from the agricultural establishment, including any labor camp on the agricultural establishment, to an appropriate emergency medical facility; and (2) provide to that person or to treating medical personnel, promptly upon request, any obtainable information on: (a) product name, EPA registration number, and active ingredients of any product to which that person might have been exposed; (b) antidote, first aid, and other medical information from the product labeling; (c) the circumstances of application or use of the pesticide on the agricultural establishment; and (d) the circumstances of exposure of that person to the pesticide.
- 11. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.230(a), before any handler performs any handling task, the handler employer shall assure that the handler has been trained in accordance with this section during the last 5 years.
- 12. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.232(a), the handler employer shall assure that before the handler performs any handling activity, the handler either has read the product labeling or has been informed in a manner that the handler can understand of all labeling requirements related to safe use of the pesticide, such as signal words, human hazard precautions, personal protective equipment requirements, first aid instructions, environmental precautions, and any precautions pertaining to the handling activity to be performed.
- 13. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.240(a), any person who performs tasks as a pesticide handler shall use the clothing and personal protective equipment specified on the labeling for use of the product.
- 14. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.240(c), when personal protective equipment is specified by the labeling of any pesticide for any handling activity, the handler employer shall provide the

20 21

19

22 23

25 26

24

27

28

appropriate personal protective equipment in clean and operating condition to the handler.

15. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.9(b), a person who has a duty under 40 C.F.R. Part 170, as referenced on the pesticide label, and who fails to perform that duty, violates Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G), and is subject to a civil penalty under Section 14 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 1361.

C. ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

- 16. Respondent is a corporation and therefore fits within the definition of "person" as that term is defined by Section 2(s) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(s). As such, Respondent is subject to FIFRA and the implementing regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 17. At all times relevant to this matter, Respondent operated a facility (the "Facility") located at the Old Plantation Site in Mana, Kekaha, Hawaii.
- 18. This Facility is a "farm" and therefore an "agricultural establishment" as those terms are defined at 40 C.F.R. § 170.3.
- 19. At all times relevant to this matter, the individuals employed by Respondent for the performance of activities relating to the production of agricultural plants at the Facility were "workers" as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 170.3.
- 20. At all times relevant to this matter, the individuals employed by Respondent for assistance with the application of pesticides at the Facility were "handlers" as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 170.3.
- 21. At all times relevant to this matter, Respondent was an "agricultural employer" as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 170.3.
- 22. At all times relevant to this matter, Respondent was a "handler employer" as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 170.3.
- 23. Terramaster 4EC (EPA Reg. No. 400-422), Nemacur 3 (EPA Reg. No. 3125-283), Lorsban 4E (EPA Reg. No. 62719-220), Prowl 3.3EC (EPA Reg. No. 241-337), Devrinol 50DF (EPA Reg. No. 70506-36), and Ridomil Gold EC (EPA Reg. No. 100-801) are registered "pesticides" as that term is defined in Section 2(u) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(u).
 - 24. At all times relevant to this matter, the Greenhouse Float Beds and Field 121 at the

Facility were "treated areas" as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 170.3.

- 25. On or about November 15, 2005, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with its labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticide Terramaster 4EC at the Facility. Specifically, Respondent failed to prevent a worker (Raquel S.) from entering a treated area (Field 121) at the Facility before the applicable restricted-entry interval for the application of this pesticide had expired. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.112(a), this failure represent the use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling and is thus a violation of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).
- 26. On or about February 14 or 15, 2006, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with its labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticide Nemacur 3 at the Facility. Specifically, on seven total occasions Respondent failed to prevent four separately identifiable workers (Jerry L. on February 14 and 15, 2006; Jack S. on February 14 and 15, 2006; Raquel S. on February 15, 2006; and Michael I. on February 14 and 15, 2006) from entering a treated area (Field 121) at the Facility before the applicable restricted-entry interval for the application of this pesticide had expired. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.112(a), these seven failures represent the use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling and are thus violations of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).
- 27. On or about February 14 or 15, 2006, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with its labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticide Ridomil Gold EC at the Facility. Specifically, on seven total occasions Respondent failed to prevent four separately identifiable workers (Jerry L. on February 14 and 15, 2006; Jack S. on February 14 and 15, 2006; Raquel S. on February 15, 2006; and Michael I. on February 14 and 15, 2006) from entering a treated area (Field 121) at the Facility before the applicable restricted-entry interval for the application of this pesticide had expired. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.112(a), these seven failures represent the use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling and are thus violations of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).

- 28. On or about February 14 or 15, 2006, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with its labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticide Lorsban 4E at the Facility. Specifically, on three total occasions Respondent failed to prevent three separately identifiable workers (Jerry L. on February 14, 2006; Jack S. on February 14, 2006; and Michael I. on February 14, 2006) from entering a treated area (Field 121) at the Facility before the applicable restricted-entry interval for the application of this pesticide had expired. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.112(a), these three failures represent the use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling and are thus violations of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).
- 29. On or about February 13, 2006, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with [their] labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticides Nemacur 3, Lorsban 4E, Prowl 3.3EC, Devrinol 50DF, and Ridomil Gold EC at the Facility. Specifically, Respondent failed to notify four separately identifiable workers (Jerry L., Jack S., Raquel S., and Michael I.) of the applications of these five pesticides at Field 121 at the Facility, resulting in a total of 20 counts. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.120(b), these 20 failures represent the use of registered pesticides in a manner inconsistent with their labeling and are thus violations of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).
- 30. On or about February 13, 2006, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with [their] labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticides Nemacur 3, Lorsban 4E, Prowl 3.3EC, Devrinol 50DF, and Ridomil Gold EC at the Facility. Specifically, Respondent failed to provide workers with specific information about the application of these five pesticides at Field 121 at the Facility within the past 30 days. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.122(c), these five failures represent the use of registered pesticides in a manner inconsistent with their labeling and are thus violations of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).
- 31. On or about February 13, 2006, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with [their] labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticides Nemacur 3, Lorsban 4E, Prowl 3.3EC, Devrinol 50DF, and Ridomil Gold

EC at the Facility. Specifically, after the application of these five pesticides at Field 121 at the Facility within the preceding 30 days, Respondent failed to assure that each of four separately identifiable workers (Jerry L., Jack S., Raquel S., and Michael I.) had received pesticide safety training during the preceding five years, resulting in a total of 20 counts. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.130(a), these 20 failures represent the use of registered pesticides in a manner inconsistent with their labeling and are thus violations of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).

- 32. On or about February 13, 2006, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with [their] labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticides Nemacur 3, Lorsban 4E, Prowl 3.3EC, Devrinol 50DF, and Ridomil Gold EC at the Facility. Specifically, Respondent failed to provide decontamination supplies for four separately identifiable workers (Jerry L., Jack S., Raquel S., and Michael I.) after these five pesticides had been applied at Field 121 at the Facility within the preceding 30 days, resulting in a total of 20 counts. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.150(a), these 20 failures represent the use of registered pesticides in a manner inconsistent with their labeling and are thus violations of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).
- 33. On or about November 15, 2005, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with its labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticide Terramaster 4EC at the Facility. Specifically, Respondent failed to make available prompt emergency medical assistance to a worker (Raquel S.) that had been injured by exposure to this pesticide at the Greenhouse Float Beds at the Facility. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.160, this failure represents the use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling and is thus a violation of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).
- 34. On or about February 13, 2006, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with [their] labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticides Nemacur 3, Lorsban 4E, Prowl 3.3EC, Devrinol 50DF, and Ridomil Gold EC at the Facility. Specifically, Respondent failed to make available prompt emergency medical assistance to a worker (Michael I.) that had been injured by exposure to these five pesticides at

Field 121 at the Facility. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.160, these five failures represent the use of registered pesticides in a manner inconsistent with their labeling and are thus violations of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).

- 35. On or about November 15, 2005, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with its labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticide Terramaster 4EC at the Facility. Specifically, after the application of this pesticide at the Greenhouse Float Beds at the Facility within the preceding 30 days, Respondent failed to assure that a handler (Raquel S.) had received handler safety training during the preceding five years. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.230(a), this failure represents the use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling and is thus a violation of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).
- 36. On or about November 15, 2005, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with its labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticide Terramaster 4EC at the Facility. Specifically, during the performance of a handling task involving this pesticide at the Greenhouse Float Beds at the Facility, Respondent failed to assure that a handler (Raquel S.) either had read the labeling for this pesticide or had been informed of all labeling requirements. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.232(a), this failure represents the use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling and is thus a violation of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).
- 37. On or about November 11, 2005, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with its labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticide Terramaster 4EC at the Facility. Specifically, during the performance of a handling task involving this pesticide at the Greenhouse Float Beds at the Facility, a handler (Robert B.) failed to use the clothing and personal protective equipment specified by the labeling. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.240(a), this failure represents the use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling and is thus a violation of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).

38. On or about November 15, 2005, Respondent used, "in a manner inconsistent with its labeling" as that term is defined by Section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(ee), the registered pesticide Terramaster 4EC at the Facility. Specifically, during the performance of a handling activity involving this pesticide at the Greenhouse Float Beds at the Facility, Respondent failed to provide to a handler (Raquel S.) the appropriate personal protective equipment specified by the labeling. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 170.240(c), this failure represents the use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling and is thus a violation of Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G).

39. Section 14(a) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136*l*(a), and the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19, provide that any registrant, commercial applicator, wholesaler, dealer, retailer or other distributor who violates any provision of FIFRA may be assessed a civil penalty by the EPA Administrator in an amount not to exceed \$6,500 for each offense occurring on or after March 15, 2004. Under the Enforcement Response Policy for FIFRA, dated July 2, 1990, and the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, the 93 violations cited above would merit a pre-adjustment civil penalty of EIGHTY-ONE THOUSAND AND THREE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$81,300), given the alleged violations' gravity level, size of business, and applicable gravity adjustments.

D. RESPONDENT'S ADMISSIONS

40. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2) and for the purpose of this proceeding, Respondent: (i) admits that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this CAFO and over Respondent; (ii) neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations contained in Section I.C of this CAFO; (iii) consents to any and all conditions specified in this CAFO and to the assessment of the civil administrative penalty under Section I.E of this CAFO; (iv) waives any right to contest the allegations contained in this CAFO; and (v) waives the right to appeal the proposed Final Order contained in this CAFO.

E. CIVIL ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY

41. In settlement of the violations specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO, Respondent shall pay a civil administrative penalty of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND AND FORTY

2.7

DOLLARS (\$65,040). Respondent shall pay this civil penalty within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this CAFO, shall make this payment by cashier's or certified check payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America," and shall send the check to the following address:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Fines and Penalties Cincinnati Finance Center P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, MO 63197-9000

Respondent shall accompany its payment with a transmittal letter identifying the case name, the case docket number, and this CAFO. Concurrent with delivery of the payment of the penalty, Respondent shall send a copy of the check and transmittal letter to the following addresses:

Regional Hearing Clerk
Office of Regional Counsel (ORC-1)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Sharon Bowen
Communities and Ecosystems Division (CED-5)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Edgar P. Coral
Office of Regional Counsel (ORC-2)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

- 42. Respondent shall not use payment of any penalty under this CAFO as a tax deduction from Respondent's federal, state, or local taxes, nor shall Respondent allow any other person to use such payment as a tax deduction.
- 43. If Respondent fails to pay the assessed civil administrative penalty of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND AND FORTY DOLLARS (\$65,040), as identified in Paragraph 41, by the deadline specified in that Paragraph, then Respondent shall also pay a stipulated penalty to EPA. The amount of the stipulated penalty will be SIXTEEN THOUSAND, TWO HUNDRED, AND SIXTY DOLLARS (\$16,260), and will be immediately due and payable on the day following the deadline specified in Paragraph 41, together with the initially assessed civil administrative

penalty of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND AND FORTY DOLLARS (\$65,040), resulting in a total penalty due of EIGHTY-ONE THOUSAND AND THREE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$81,300). Failure to pay the civil administrative penalty specified in Paragraph 41 by the deadline specified in that Paragraph may also lead to any or all of the following actions:

- (1) EPA may refer the debt to a credit reporting agency, a collection agency, or to the Department of Justice for filing of a collection action in the appropriate United States District Court. 40 C.F.R. §§ 13.13, 13.14 and 13.33. The validity, amount, and appropriateness of the assessed penalty or of this CAFO is not subject to review in any such collection proceeding.
- (*i.e.*, the withholding of money payable by the United States to, or held by the United States for, a person to satisfy the debt the person owes the U.S. Government), which includes, but is not limited to, referral to the Internal Revenue Service for offset against income tax refunds. 40 C.F.R. §§ 13(C) and 13(H).
- (3) Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 13.17, EPA may either: (i) suspend or revoke Respondent's licenses or other privileges, or (ii) suspend or disqualify Respondent from doing business with EPA or engaging in programs EPA sponsors or funds.
- (4) Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3701 *et seq.* and 40 C.F.R. Part 13, the U.S. Government may assess interest, administrative handling charges, and nonpayment penalties against the outstanding amount that Respondent owes to EPA for Respondent's failure to pay the civil administrative penalty specified in Paragraph 41 by the deadline specified in that Paragraph.
- (a) Interest. Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3717 and 40 C.F.R. § 13.11(a)(1), any unpaid portion of the assessed penalty shall bear interest at the rate established according to 26 U.S.C. § 6621(a)(2) from the effective date of this CAFO, provided, however, that no interest shall be payable on any portion of the assessed penalty that is paid within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this CAFO.
- (b) Administrative Handling Charges. Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3717(e)(1) and 40 C.F.R. § 13.11(b), Respondent shall pay a monthly handling charge, based on

either actual or average cost incurred (including both direct and indirect costs), for every month in which any portion of the assessed penalty is more than thirty (30) days past due.

(c) Nonpayment Penalties. Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3717(e)(2) and 40 C.F.R. § 13.11(c), a monthly penalty charge, not to exceed six percent (6%) annually, may be assessed on all debts more than ninety (90) days delinquent.

F. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

44. In executing this CAFO, Respondent certifies that: (1) it is in compliance with Section 12(a)(2)(G) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G), in that it is not using registered pesticides in manners inconsistent with their labeling; and (2) based on Respondent's knowledge, it has complied with all other FIFRA requirements at all facilities under its control.

G. RETENTION OF RIGHTS

- 45. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(c), this CAFO only resolves Respondent's liabilities for federal civil penalties for the violations and facts specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO. Nothing in this CAFO is intended to or shall be construed to resolve: (i) any civil liability for violations of any provision of any federal, state, or local law, statute, regulation, rule, ordinance, or permit not specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO; or (ii) any criminal liability. EPA specifically reserves any and all authorities, rights, and remedies available to it (including, but not limited to, injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions) to address any violation of this CAFO or any violation not specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO.
- 46. This CAFO does not exempt, relieve, modify, or affect in any way Respondent's duties to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, and permits.

H. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

47. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements incurred in this proceeding.

I. EFFECTIVE DATE

48. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.18(b)(3) and 22.31(b), this CAFO shall be effective on the date that the Final Order contained in this CAFO, having been approved and issued by either the Regional Judicial Officer or Regional Administrator, is filed.

J. BINDING EFFECT

- 49. The undersigned representative of Complainant and the undersigned representative of Respondent each certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this CAFO and to bind the party he or she represents to this CAFO.
- 50. The provisions of this CAFO shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent and its officers, directors, employees, agents, trustees, servants, authorized representatives, successors, and assigns.

MARC N. BELL

Senior Vice President and General Counsel

Vector Tobacco Inc.

3800 Paramount Parkway

Suite 250

Morrisville, NC 27560

FOR COMPLAINANT EPA:

Associate Director for Agriculture
Communities and Ecosystems Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, California 94105

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re Vector Tobacco Inc.

II. FINAL ORDER

EPA and Vector Tobacco Inc. having entered into the foregoing Consent Agreement, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this CAFO (Docket No. FIFRA-09-2008-002) be entered, and Respondent shall pay a civil administrative penalty in the amount of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND AND FORTY DOLLARS (\$65,040), and comply with the terms and conditions set forth in the Consent Agreement.

09/25/08 DATE

Regional Judicial Officer

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re Vector Tobacco Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original and one copy of the foregoing Motion for Revision of the Final Order (Docket No. FIFRA-09-2008-0022) was hand delivered to:

Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105

and that a true and correct copy of the Motion for Revision of the Final Order was sent by First

Class United States Mail to the following:

Marc N. Bell, Esq. Vice President & General Counsel Vector Group Ltd. 100 S.E. 2nd Street 32nd Floor Miami, FL 33131

Dated:	10-14-08

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Bv:

Danielle & Cass U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX

Motion for Revision of Final Order *In re Vector Tobacco Inc.*